

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,833	*	04/27/2001	James J. Barry	12013/58401	8482	
26646	7590	07/18/2002				
	V & KENY	/ON	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				STEWART, ALVIN J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3738		
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
	_	09/842,833		BARRY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alvin J Stew		3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(3) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		an Carl						
2a) ☐	/	his action is n		prosperation as to the	a marite ie				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) 🗌	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	iirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. See 37 of 10 1.05(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No	(s) O-152)				

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a delivery system, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.
- II. Claims 15-17, drawn to the method of deploying a catheter system, classified in class 606, subclass 194.
- III Claims 18-23, drawn to the method of manufacturing a stent, classified in class 606, subclass 108.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as a blood filter or a compressible heart valve.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as an expanding dilator.

Art Unit: 3738

Inventions III and II are related as process of making and process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected invention (MPEP § 806.05(i)).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon the election of Group I, Group II or Group III a further election of species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I:

Species I, referring to Fig. 1; Species II, referring to Fig. 5; Species III, referring to Fig. 8; Species IV, referring to Fig. 12, Species V, referring to Fig. 16; and Species VI, referring to Fig. 17.

Group II:

Species I, referring to Fig. 1; Species II, referring to Fig. 5; Species III, referring to Fig. 8; Species IV, referring to Fig. 12, Species V, referring to Fig. 16; and Species VI, referring to Fig. 17.

Art Unit: 3738

Group III:

Species I, referring to Fig. 1; Species II, referring to Fig. 5; Species III, referring to Fig. 8; Species IV, referring to Fig. 12, Species V, referring to Fig. 16; and Species VI, referring to Fig. 17.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular

communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

July 17, 2002

CORRINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700